

**Licensing Act 2003
Premises licence application
The Triangle 290 North Street Romford RM5 3AB**

Representations against the application:

From: Mahmoda Akhter
Sent: 09 May 2026 04:29
To: Licensing; Paul Jones
Subject: Objection to Triangle Restaurant.

Mahmoda Akhter
256 North Street
Romford
RM1 4QD

8th May 2026

Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BD

Dear Sir/Madam,

FORMAL OBJECTION TO PREMISES LICENCE APPLICATION

THE TRIANGLE, 290 NORTH STREET, ROMFORD RM5 3AB

I write to formally object to the above premises licence application pursuant to the Licensing Act 2003.

I am a resident living in close proximity to the premises on North Street and have experienced ongoing disturbance, anti-social behaviour, noise nuisance and public safety concerns which I believe are directly associated with the operation of this venue.

It is my respectful submission that the operation of the premises has undermined, and is likely to continue undermining, the four licensing objectives under the Licensing Act 2003, namely:

- The prevention of crime and disorder;
- Public safety;
- The prevention of public nuisance; and
- The protection of children from harm.

This representation is based upon incidents personally witnessed by myself and other local residents over a prolonged period, together with some video evidence, supporting material and previous police attendance.

PREVENTION OF CRIME AND DISORDER

In my experience, the premises has become associated with repeated incidents of anti-social behaviour and disorder within what is otherwise a predominantly residential area.

Residents have regularly experienced:

- Fighting and disorder involving patrons attending the venue;
- Aggressive, abusive and intimidating behaviour;
- Excessive shouting, screaming and swearing late at night and into the early hours;
- Patrons smoking cannabis outside the premises;
- Large groups congregating outside the venue causing disturbance to residents;
- Concerns regarding inadequate supervision and dispersal management.

On 17 March, residents were again subjected to significant anti-social behaviour associated with the premises. Despite this occurring on a school night, my children were woken by excessive shouting, aggressive conduct and disorder outside our home to such an extent that police attendance was required (CAD 8272/17March).

Regrettably, this incident was not isolated. It is one example amongst numerous occasions where residents have experienced late-night disturbance associated with the premises.

Residents have also experienced occasions where large-scale events at the venue have resulted in convoys of high-performance and luxury vehicles arriving late at night and into the early hours of the morning.

On occasions, this has involved:

- Excessive engine revving outside residential properties;
- Groups of vehicles arriving together causing noise and congestion;
- Flares being ignited within the street;
- Fireworks being discharged in close proximity to neighbouring homes.

Residents have raised concerns regarding these matters previously, however there remains a perception locally that insufficient steps have been taken to adequately prevent recurrence.

I have provided video evidence in support of these concerns.

PUBLIC SAFETY

There are, in my respectful submission, substantial public safety concerns associated with the operation and expansion of this venue.

The rear curtilage area, whilst described as a seating area, appears in practice to be used as a significant extension of the operational and entertainment space of the premises.

Residents have observed the rear area being used for:

- Outdoor parties and functions;
- Amplified music events;
- Live musical performances;
- Shisha use;
- Additional seating pods increasing customer capacity.

The use of this area appears to extend materially beyond incidental seating and has resulted in increased customer numbers and intensified use of the premises.

In addition, the construction and use of the rear area appears to have reduced the amount of available on-site parking.

The premises does not appear to operate merely as a small local restaurant serving the immediate community. Rather, it appears to function as a destination venue hosting weddings, birthday celebrations, functions and entertainment events attracting substantial numbers of people from outside the area.

In my respectful submission, the parking provision available at the premises appears insufficient for the scale of events and customer numbers being attracted to the venue.

Residents have experienced significant parking pressures on surrounding roads as a consequence. In particular:

- Customers associated with the venue regularly park on pavements along North Street, obstructing pedestrian access and creating hazards for residents, children and those using pushchairs or mobility aids;
- Vehicles are frequently parked throughout surrounding residential streets late into the evening and early morning;
- Residents and visitors are often unable to park near their homes;
- Pedestrian movement and access are adversely affected.

Concerns also arise regarding the use of the upper floors of the premises. Whilst these areas may operate as hotel accommodation, there is a local perception that the accommodation is being occupied in a manner more akin to HMO-style residential occupation, which may further contribute to pressure upon parking and increased footfall associated with the premises.

Taken cumulatively, the scale of events hosted, the apparent intensity of use and the associated parking impacts appear incompatible with the surrounding residential setting.

PREVENTION OF PUBLIC NUISANCE

In my respectful submission, the operation of the premises has resulted in ongoing and excessive public nuisance affecting neighbouring residents.

Residents have experienced:

- Loud amplified music escaping from within the premises;
- Noise breakout due to inadequate sound insulation;
- Loud music and entertainment taking place within the rear external area;
- Live band performances and amplified external events;
- Persistent shouting, screaming and disorder from customers congregating outside;
- Noise associated with dispersal from the venue during late-night and early morning hours;
- Vehicle noise including engine revving, slamming doors, sounding horns and loud music from parked vehicles;
- Smoke and fumes emitted from the premises flue affecting neighbouring properties;
- Ongoing disturbance arising from use of the front beer garden and external areas.

A particularly significant issue for residents is the disturbance caused when patrons leave the venue.

Customers departing the premises late at night and during the early hours are regularly heard shouting in the street, slamming vehicle doors, sounding car horns and playing loud music from vehicles parked outside nearby homes.

Groups frequently remain in the area for prolonged periods after leaving the premises, resulting in continuing disturbance long after licensable activities have concluded.

This disturbance has repeatedly woken my children and other residents during the night.

No reasonable resident should be expected to experience such levels of recurring disruption within a residential neighbourhood.

I have provided video evidence demonstrating:

- Outdoor parties and entertainment within the rear curtilage area;
- Loud amplified music;
- Vehicle-related disturbance and anti-social behaviour;
- The extent to which neighbouring residential properties are affected.

The evidence, in my respectful submission, demonstrates that the operation of the premises is not compatible with the surrounding residential environment.

PROTECTION OF CHILDREN FROM HARM

I also have serious concerns regarding the impact the operation of the premises is having upon children residing nearby.

My children have repeatedly been exposed to:

- Aggressive and anti-social behaviour;
- Loud shouting and disorder late into the night;
- Fireworks and flares discharged outside residential homes;
- Cannabis smoking in the vicinity of the premises;
- Significant sleep disturbance caused by noise associated with the venue.

Children should reasonably be able to sleep safely and peacefully within their homes, particularly on school nights.

The repeated sleep disruption and exposure to anti-social behaviour associated with the premises is, in my respectful submission, incompatible with the licensing objective of protecting children from harm.

Furthermore, there is a local understanding that children may also reside within the upper-floor accommodation at the premises itself. If correct, this gives rise to additional safeguarding and welfare concerns given the scale of late-night disturbance and disorder associated with the operation of the venue.

SUITABILITY OF THE PREMISES AND MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

In addition to the concerns outlined above, concerns also arise regarding the overall management and suitability of the premises to hold a premises licence.

It is understood locally that the previous licence holder, Mr. Badrul Haque, was previously associated with serious allegations relating to modern-day slavery offences.

Whilst this application is presented under a different proposed licence holder, some residents remain concerned that Mr. Haque may continue to retain a degree of operational involvement or influence in relation to the premises. I appreciate this may be disputed; however, these concerns remain matters of local concern amongst residents.

Given the ongoing issues already associated with the venue, including disorder, nuisance and management concerns, residents respectfully submit that the Licensing Authority should carefully scrutinise the management arrangements and operational control of the premises.

The Licensing Authority must ultimately be satisfied that those operating the premises are capable of consistently promoting the licensing objectives and managing the venue responsibly within a residential setting.

CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons set out above, I respectfully submit that this application should be refused.

The evidence available demonstrates an ongoing pattern of:

- Crime and disorder;
- Public nuisance;
- Anti-social behaviour;
- Noise disturbance;
- Parking and traffic impacts;
- Poor dispersal management; and
- Harm and distress caused to neighbouring residents and children.

In my respectful submission, the premises operates not as a modest local restaurant but as a high-intensity destination venue hosting large-scale functions, weddings and entertainment events which appear incompatible with the residential nature of the locality.

The venue does not appear to have sufficient infrastructure, parking provision, sound insulation or management controls to adequately mitigate the impact upon neighbouring residents.

Accordingly, I respectfully submit that granting the application would be likely to further undermine the licensing objectives rather than promote them.

Given the seriousness and extent of the concerns raised, I respectfully request that the application be referred to and determined by a full Licensing Sub-Committee hearing rather than under delegated authority.

Should the Authority nevertheless be minded to grant the application, I respectfully submit that exceptionally robust conditions would be required, including:

- No licensable activities beyond 22:00 hours;
- A prohibition on outdoor amplified music and entertainment;
- Strict restrictions on use of the rear curtilage area;
- Restrictions on external seating pods;
- Enhanced sound insulation measures;
- A comprehensive dispersal policy;
- Increased SIA security presence;
- Restrictions relating to shisha use;
- Parking management controls; and
- Ongoing monitoring and review provisions.

This is a residential area occupied by families and young children who are entitled to reasonable peace, quiet and uninterrupted sleep, particularly during school nights.

Children living nearby are routinely woken by shouting, amplified music, vehicle noise and anti-social behaviour associated with the premises. Ongoing sleep disturbance of this nature can adversely impact children's wellbeing, concentration, education and overall welfare.

For those reasons, I respectfully submit that a high-intensity destination venue operating late into the night is unsuitable for this locality.

I respectfully request that full consideration be given to the supporting videos and evidence submitted by residents.

I have submitted the supporting video evidence in a separate email due to the file size exceeding email attachment limits.

Yours faithfully,

Mahmoda Akhter

From: salma begum

Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 11:29

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street

Dear Licensing Team,

I am writing to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street.

I am a local resident living in close proximity to the premises and wish to raise concerns in relation to the four licensing objectives.

Prevention of Crime and Disorder

Residents in the area have experienced and are concerned about antisocial behaviour associated with late-night activity nearby. This includes reports of shouting, arguments, and fights occurring outside premises late at night. There are also concerns about intimidation and harassment, particularly towards women in the area. Granting extended or additional licensing hours may increase these risks and negatively impact community safety.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

Noise disturbance is already a significant concern in the area, particularly late at night. This includes loud voices from customers leaving the premises, shouting in the street, slamming car doors, taxis arriving and departing, and general late-night activity. Residents have also reported public urination and loitering nearby, which creates distress and disrupts the quiet enjoyment of our homes.

Public Safety

The area experiences parking congestion, with vehicles and taxis sometimes blocking residential driveways and restricting access. Increased customer numbers and extended operating hours are likely to worsen these issues and could create risks for residents, pedestrians, and emergency vehicle access.

Protection of Children from Harm

Families with children live in this area, and ongoing exposure to antisocial behaviour, foul language, and public nuisance late at night creates an unsuitable environment

for children. There are concerns that increased late-night activity could further expose children to harmful situations and disturbances.

For the reasons above, I respectfully request that this licence application be refused, or that very strict conditions be applied to ensure the licensing objectives are fully upheld.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Yours faithfully,

Salma Begum
15 Burnham road
RM77JP

From: Alexandra Dion

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 12:09

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: Licence application The Triangle objection

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to the current licensing application for the above premises, which proposes changing its use from a (now closed) restaurant to a bar-style operation, with significantly extended opening hours—until 2am during peak periods and throughout the summer, and until midnight as standard. The application also includes permission for recorded music to be played until midnight as standard, and likely until 2am during extended hours.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

The premises have previously been the subject of investigations relating to potential Modern Slavery offences and breaches of working time regulations. The apparent change of management appears, on the face of it, to be an attempt to distance the operation from previous wrongdoing. There is little evidence to reassure the community that these issues have been fully resolved, particularly given the proposed shift towards a more alcohol-focused, entertainment-based operation.

The site has also been associated with various civil and criminal offences in the local area, including graffiti, public urination, parking violations, and damage to Council property. Despite having its own car park, the venue's popularity has repeatedly caused congestion in Cedar Road and surrounding streets, often in breach of parking restrictions. Vehicles regularly obstruct the road to such an extent that access for emergency services would be severely compromised.

In addition, Council-owned parking restriction signs have been deliberately defaced with black paint, seemingly to allow visitors—many of whom are attending the venue—to park illegally without fear of enforcement.

2. Public Safety

As noted above, the congestion caused by visitors to the premises has created a genuine risk to public safety, particularly due to the restricted access for emergency vehicles during busy periods.

There have also been complaints of public urination, which poses a public health concern. Residents have reported instances of intimidating behaviour by men towards women, and extending alcohol service late into the night in a residential area is likely to increase the risk of such behaviour continuing.

Littering has also increased, including the presence of bins filled with kitchen waste outside the car park area. Given that the premises serves food, this creates an obvious attraction for rats and foxes, both of which have been seen in the area. Their presence poses a direct health risk to residents.

Furthermore, residents have previously raised concerns about excessive kitchen exhaust fumes. On peak days, the emissions have been so heavy as to resemble smoke from a potential fire, producing dark, unpleasant clouds. This level of pollution is harmful to local air quality and may exacerbate respiratory conditions among nearby residents.

3. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The issues outlined above already amount to statutory nuisance, and these are likely to worsen if the licence is granted as applied for. The premises sits in the centre of a densely populated residential area, which already experiences noise, dust, and smoke from nearby commercial units and the larger Dunelm store.

There is a history of late-night noise from patrons leaving the venue, as well as ongoing problems with litter, food waste being stored outside, and smoke from the kitchen. The presence of rats—witnessed by myself and others—demonstrates the seriousness of the problem. Extending the venue's hours, increasing alcohol service, and adding late-night music will only intensify these issues.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

Reports of public urination and harassment of women in the area are deeply concerning. Extending alcohol service and shifting the venue's focus away from dining towards drinking and entertainment increases the likelihood of such behaviour continuing.

The risk of public drunkenness is particularly troubling given the venue's proximity to a large local park used by many children, and the fact that numerous young families live and attend school nearby.

Additionally, the ongoing issues with illegal and obstructive parking frequently make it difficult for residents to leave their homes safely. Increased traffic and congestion heighten the risk of a child being struck by a vehicle, despite the best efforts of parents.

I respectfully request that these concerns are given full and serious consideration when determining whether to grant the licence in its current form.

Kind regards,

Alexandra Dion
17 Burnham Road
Romford
RM7 7JP

From: eric Elsdon
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 16:28
To: Licensing
Subject: Re: Objection to increased opening hours of The Triangle, 290 North Street

The changes wanted by the above are totally out of character with the neighbourhood.
It's far too close to residential homes and will have a negative impact on the neighbourhood.

Regards Eric Elsdon
13 Burnham road
RM77jp

From: george.glowacki
Sent: 08 May 2026 16:02
To: Licensing
Cc: Paul Jones; Environmental.Health
Subject: Objection to the Triangle Licensing Application - North Street

Julian Glowacki
258 North Street
Romford
RM1 4QD

To the Licensing Authority,

I am an elderly resident living close to The Triangle on North Street with my elderly wife and our dog. I write to formally object to the premises licence application due to the ongoing disturbance and impact the venue has had upon our quality of life and wellbeing.

We respectfully submit that the operation of the premises continues to undermine the licensing objectives under the Licensing Act 2003.

PREVENTION OF CRIME AND DISORDER

Over a prolonged period, we have witnessed repeated incidents of anti-social behaviour associated with the venue. This has included shouting, swearing, aggressive behaviour, disorder and large groups gathering outside late into the evening and early hours of the morning.

There have been occasions where police attendance has been required due to disorder linked to the premises. As elderly residents, this behaviour causes us considerable distress and anxiety, particularly during late hours when the area should otherwise be peaceful.

We have also experienced disturbances linked to large events at the venue, including convoys of high-performance vehicles arriving late at night, excessive engine revving, loud music from cars, fireworks and flares being set off close to residential homes.

These incidents are intimidating and entirely inappropriate within a residential area occupied by families and elderly residents.

PUBLIC SAFETY

We also have serious concerns regarding public safety and the suitability of the venue for the scale of events being hosted.

The venue appears to operate not simply as a small local restaurant, but as a destination venue hosting large parties, functions and entertainment events attracting significant numbers of people from outside the area.

This has created substantial parking and access issues within surrounding residential roads. Vehicles regularly park on pavements and obstruct pedestrian access, creating hazards for elderly residents such as ourselves, particularly those with reduced mobility.

The congestion and disorder caused by customers arriving and leaving events late at night has made it difficult at times to safely access our property and walk our dog within the local area.

We are also concerned that the apparent expansion of the rear area and increased customer numbers have intensified the impact upon neighbouring residents without sufficient infrastructure or parking provision to safely accommodate such events.

PREVENTION OF PUBLIC NUISANCE

The ongoing public nuisance caused by the venue has had a severe impact upon our daily lives and wellbeing.

We are regularly disturbed by:

- Loud amplified music;
- Shouting and screaming late at night;
- Vehicle noise including revving engines and slamming doors;
- Car horns and loud music from parked vehicles;
- Outdoor entertainment and parties;
- Groups congregating outside the premises for prolonged periods.

The noise frequently continues into the early hours of the morning and has repeatedly interrupted our sleep.

As elderly residents, uninterrupted rest is extremely important for our health and wellbeing. The repeated late-night disturbance has caused stress, exhaustion and anxiety for both myself and my wife.

Our dog is also regularly distressed by the loud noise, fireworks, shouting and flares associated with events at the venue. During these incidents our dog becomes frightened and unsettled within our own home and garden.

No elderly residents should be expected to endure persistent disruption of this nature within what should be a peaceful residential neighbourhood.

PROTECTION OF CHILDREN FROM HARM

Although we are elderly residents ourselves, we are also deeply concerned about the impact this venue is having upon children and families living nearby.

Children in the area are routinely exposed to shouting, aggressive behaviour, fireworks, loud music and anti-social conduct late into the night, including on school evenings.

It is entirely inappropriate for children to be repeatedly woken by noise and disorder associated with a commercial venue operating within a residential setting.

CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons outlined above, we respectfully submit that this application should be refused.

The operation of the venue has caused ongoing nuisance, disturbance and distress to residents and appears incompatible with the residential character of the area.

The scale and intensity of the events hosted at the premises, together with the associated noise, disorder and parking impacts, demonstrate that the venue is not being operated in a manner that adequately promotes the licensing objectives.

Should the Licensing Authority nevertheless be minded to grant the application, we respectfully request that very strict conditions be imposed, including restrictions on operating hours, outdoor entertainment, amplified music, customer dispersal and parking management.

We respectfully ask that full consideration be given to the impact this venue is having upon elderly residents, families and the wider community.

Yours faithfully,

Julian Glowacki

From: Sharon Harpaul

Sent: Friday, May 01, 2026 11:19

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant) 290 North Street, Romford, RM5 3AB

I write with regards to the above Licensing Application and take this opportunity to lodge my opposition to the granting of said License based on the following issues I currently experience:

I have lived on Hainault Road directly opposite The Triangle/Neyzen Restaurant for the past 11years, and myself and other residents have had no end of issues with patrons and the staff/owners.

They have had a number of live/DJ'd events in the rear extension over the past few years and the noise levels are atrocious. The music vibrates through my home and there is nowhere to escape to, the music can be heard in every part of my home, including the garden. There is no chance of any sleep/rest when these events happen. I have attached a video of a past event which began at 10am on a Sunday morning and did not end until 7pm that evening, to provide an example of the noise levels I experience.

Anti-social behaviour – fights, drunk/loud patrons standing in our street/sitting on our property walls, talking loudly/shouting, leaving their empty glasses/bottles/tins within our perimeters for us to clear away is a daily occurrence. The venue seems to attract a lot of young people and groups congregate outside of the car park or the Post Office until early hours of the morning, drinking, screaming and shouting. I have witnessed many fights in our street involving both staff and patrons. We have many young children living in our road and can imagine the impact this has on them.

Drug deals taking place in cars parked outside the venue. I have witnessed people coming out of the venue to buy drugs on Cedar/Hainault Road.

On more than one occasion, I have been witness to people exiting the venue from the car park and urinating against residents walls/lampposts. On one occasion, I caught a man urinating down the side alleyway of my home. I could not confront him as I was scared for my safety.

When an event takes place at the site, parking on Hainault Road becomes impossible as the premises does not have a very large car park now that they have installed pods and a large extension, making it impossible for residents parking and patrons parking over our dropped kerbs. There is also a bus stand on our street,

buses are unable to park because patrons at the establishment are parked on the stand.

Upon leaving the premises late at night, their patrons sit/stand outside of their vehicles for lengthy periods with music blaring/loud talking which disturbs us all, making it impossible to sleep. I have tried speaking to them, but have been subjected to verbal abuse and as a woman living on her own it is very intimidating.

The extractor fan faces my property and when in use the smoke that billows out is disgusting. I am unable to open my windows or sit in my garden as I have COPD, due to the smoke and smells. I have attached a video showing an example. The noise from the fan is very similar to an airplane idling and is VERY LOUD making it impossible to sleep. In the past, an Environmental Officer, David Cant, visited my property and agreed that the noise was unacceptable and that action would be taken. He then subsequently moved to another role and I have been able to get someone else around to check the noise levels since.

On more than one occasion I have tried to speak to the owner to try to come to some sort of compromise, but this fell on deaf ears and I found his staff very aggressive when I have tried approaching them in his absence. Other neighbours have also tried, but have had no luck/requests ignored.

The above, is a list of but a few examples of the issues I and the other residents of Hainault Road have/are facing from this establishment and I feel that if the granting of a change/extension to their licensing/opening hours is changed, the issues faced will only increase and invite increased anti-social behaviour into the area.

If you wish to discuss any of the issues raised, I can be contacted on [REDACTED].

Kind regards

Sharon Harpaul
29 Hainault Road
Romford
RM53AA

From: Ahmed Hussain
Sent: 08 May 2026 16:40
To: Licensing
Subject: Objection to Neyzen site

To: Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall, Main Road
Romford RM1 3BD

Re: Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford

Date: 8th May 2026

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford.

This objection is made on the basis that granting this application would undermine the licensing objectives. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably be worsened if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There are serious and ongoing concerns regarding crime and disorder linked to the premises.

Residents have witnessed:

- * Multiple fights occurring directly outside the premises, involving intoxicated customers
- * Shouting, aggressive behaviour, and disorder late at night
- * Groups congregating and escalating tensions in the immediate vicinity

Police have attended incidents, demonstrating that these are not isolated occurrences.

There are also concerns regarding drug-related activity, with individuals attending the premises and engaging in suspected drug exchanges linked to customers.

In addition, during large events such as weddings:

- * The premises attracts large groups from outside the local area
- * There have been instances of high-value vehicles arriving in convoy, revving engines and creating excessive noise
- * On occasions, flares or similar items have been used, causing alarm and raising safety concerns

The extension of licensable activities, particularly alcohol sales into later hours, will increase intoxication levels, prolong congregation, and heighten the likelihood of further disorder and violence.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises is already a significant source of public nuisance and has undergone a clear and unmanaged intensification of use.

It has expanded at both the front and rear, including:

- * A front beer garden/seating area, increasing noise and disturbance onto the street
- * A rear structure used as a shisha lounge and for parties

- * Use of the rear curtilage for large events such as weddings, where guests are seated and accommodated externally

As a result, residents experience:

- * Loud music escaping from the premises
- * Persistent late-night noise from patrons shouting and congregating
- * Disturbance from events operating at high capacity
- * Vehicle noise, including revving engines, slamming doors, and loud music

This represents a shift from a former community pub to a destination venue, attracting customers from a wider area and generating levels of noise and activity that are wholly incompatible with a residential setting.

Granting this application will prolong and intensify these disturbances, further impacting residents' ability to enjoy their homes.

3. Public Safety

There are significant concerns regarding public safety arising from parking, congestion, and site capacity.

The premises has:

- * Limited on-site parking, further reduced by the rear structure and external seating
- * Additional demand from residential/HMO-style accommodation above the premises, where occupants also park
- * Increased demand during large events such as weddings

Customers frequently park inconsiderately and obstructively, narrowing the roadway and restricting access.

There is a genuine concern that emergency service vehicles, including ambulances and fire engines, may be delayed or unable to pass safely.

In addition, the nearby bus stop on Hainault Road is regularly used as a holding point, where buses remain stationary for periods of time. Due to their size, they occupy a significant portion of the road.

The combination of:

- * Stationary buses
- * Obstructive parking
- * Increased traffic associated with the premises

creates a constrained road environment and raises clear risks to public safety.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The impact of the premises on children living nearby is of particular concern.

Children have been:

- * Woken during the night by shouting, screaming, and fighting
- * Exposed to violent incidents occurring directly outside their homes and bedroom windows

This level of disturbance and exposure to disorder is wholly inappropriate in a residential area and raises serious safeguarding concerns.

The continued operation and proposed extension of licensable activities will only increase the frequency of such incidents, further exposing children to harm.

Conclusion

The premises has already evolved from a local community pub into a high-intensity destination venue, with expanded use at both the front and rear, increased capacity, and a demonstrable negative impact on the surrounding residential area.

The issues outlined above are:

- * Ongoing
- * Evidenced
- * Directly linked to the operation of the premises

Granting this application would add to these problems and further undermine all four licensing objectives.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that the Licensing Authority refuse this application in full.

Should the Authority be minded to grant the application, it is submitted that only exceptionally stringent conditions could be considered. However, it is my firm position that refusal is the only appropriate and proportionate outcome.

Yours faithfully,
AHMED HUSSAIN
92 CEDAR ROAD ROMFORD RM77JU

From: Kainat Kashifjuv 11111111111110833

Sent: 07 May 2026 08:00

To: Licensing <Licensing@havering.gov.uk>

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford

To: Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall, Main Road
Romford RM1 3BD

Date: 7 May 2026

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford.

This objection is made on the basis that granting this application would undermine the licensing objectives. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably be worsened if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There are serious and ongoing concerns regarding crime and disorder linked to the premises. Residents have witnessed:

- Multiple fights occurring directly outside the premises, involving intoxicated customers
- Shouting, aggressive behaviour, and disorder late at night
- Groups congregating and escalating tensions in the immediate vicinity

Police have attended incidents, demonstrating that these are not isolated occurrences.

There are also concerns regarding drug-related activity, with individuals attending the premises and engaging in suspected drug exchanges linked to customers. In addition, during large events such as weddings:

- The premises attracts large groups from outside the local area
- There have been instances of high-value vehicles arriving in convoy, revving engines and creating excessive noise
- On occasions, flares or similar items have been used, causing alarm and raising safety concerns

The extension of licensable activities, particularly alcohol sales into later hours, will increase intoxication levels, prolong congregation, and heighten the likelihood of further disorder and violence.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises is already a significant source of public nuisance and has undergone a clear and unmanaged intensification of use. It has expanded at both the front and rear, including:

- A front beer garden/seating area, increasing noise and disturbance onto the street
- A rear structure used as a shisha lounge and for parties
- Use of the rear curtilage for large events such as weddings, where guests are seated and accommodated externally

As a result, residents experience:

- Loud music escaping from the premises
- Persistent late-night noise from patrons shouting and congregating
- Disturbance from events operating at high capacity
- Vehicle noise, including revving engines, slamming doors, and loud music

This represents a shift from a former community pub to a destination venue, attracting customers from a wider area and generating levels of noise and activity that are wholly incompatible with a residential setting.

Granting this application will prolong and intensify these disturbances, further impacting residents' ability to enjoy their homes.

3. Public Safety

There are significant concerns regarding public safety arising from parking, congestion, and site capacity.

The premises has:

- Limited on-site parking, further reduced by the rear structure and external seating
- Additional demand from residential/HMO-style accommodation above the premises, where occupants also park
- Increased demand during large events such as weddings

Customers frequently park inconsiderately and obstructively, narrowing the roadway and restricting access. There is a genuine concern that emergency service vehicles, including ambulances and fire engines, may be delayed or unable to pass safely. In addition, the nearby bus stop on Hainault Road is regularly used as a holding point, where buses remain stationary for periods of time. Due to their size, they occupy a significant portion of the road.

The combination of:

- Stationary buses
- Obstructive parking
- Increased traffic associated with the premises

creates a constrained road environment and raises clear risks to public safety.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The impact of the premises on children living nearby is of particular concern. Children have been:

- Woken during the night by shouting, screaming, and fighting
- Exposed to violent incidents occurring directly outside their homes and bedroom windows

This level of disturbance and exposure to disorder is wholly inappropriate in a residential area and raises serious safeguarding concerns.

The continued operation and proposed extension of licensable activities will only increase the frequency of such incidents, further exposing children to harm.

Conclusion

The premises has already evolved from a local community pub into a high-intensity destination venue, with expanded use at both the front and rear, increased capacity, and a demonstrable negative impact on the surrounding residential area.

The issues outlined above are:

- Ongoing
- Evidenced
- Directly linked to the operation of the premises

Granting this application would add to these problems and further undermine all four licensing objectives. Accordingly, I respectfully request that the Licensing Authority refuse this application in full.

Should the Authority be minded to grant the application, it is submitted that only exceptionally stringent conditions could be considered. However, it is my firm position that refusal is the only appropriate and proportionate outcome.

Yours faithfully,

kainat Kashif
35 Hainault Road

From: rajesh k

Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2026 10:35

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: Objection Regarding Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford.

This objection is made on the basis that granting this application would undermine the licensing objectives. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably be worsened if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There are serious and ongoing concerns regarding crime and disorder linked to the premises.

Residents have witnessed:

- * Multiple fights occurring directly outside the premises, involving intoxicated customers
- * Shouting, aggressive behaviour, and disorder late at night
- * Groups congregating and escalating tensions in the immediate vicinity

Police have attended incidents, demonstrating that these are not isolated occurrences.

There are also concerns regarding drug-related activity, with individuals attending the premises and engaging in suspected drug exchanges linked to customers.

In addition, during large events such as weddings:

- * The premises attracts large groups from outside the local area
- * There have been instances of high-value vehicles arriving in convoy, revving engines and creating excessive noise
- * On occasions, flares or similar items have been used, causing alarm and raising safety concerns

The extension of licensable activities, particularly alcohol sales into later hours, will increase intoxication levels, prolong congregation, and heighten the likelihood of further disorder and violence.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises is already a significant source of public nuisance and has undergone a clear and unmanaged intensification of use.

It has expanded at both the front and rear, including:

- * A front beer garden/seating area, increasing noise and disturbance onto the street
- * A rear structure used as a shisha lounge and for parties
- * Use of the rear curtilage for large events such as weddings, where guests are seated and accommodated externally

As a result, residents experience:

- * Loud music escaping from the premises
- * Persistent late-night noise from patrons shouting and congregating
- * Disturbance from events operating at high capacity
- * Vehicle noise, including revving engines, slamming doors, and loud music

This represents a shift from a former community pub to a destination venue, attracting customers from a wider area and generating levels of noise and activity that are wholly incompatible with a residential setting.

Granting this application will prolong and intensify these disturbances, further impacting residents' ability to enjoy their homes.

3. Public Safety

There are significant concerns regarding public safety arising from parking, congestion, and site capacity.

The premises has:

- * Limited on-site parking, further reduced by the rear structure and external seating
- * Additional demand from residential/HMO-style accommodation above the premises, where occupants also park
- * Increased demand during large events such as weddings

Customers frequently park inconsiderately and obstructively, narrowing the roadway and restricting access.

There is a genuine concern that emergency service vehicles, including ambulances and fire engines, may be delayed or unable to pass safely.

In addition, the nearby bus stop on Hainault Road is regularly used as a holding point, where buses remain stationary for periods of time. Due to their size, they occupy a significant portion of the road.

The combination of:

- * Stationary buses
- * Obstructive parking
- * Increased traffic associated with the premises

creates a constrained road environment and raises clear risks to public safety.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The impact of the premises on children living nearby is of particular concern.

Children have been:

- * Woken during the night by shouting, screaming, and fighting
- * Exposed to violent incidents occurring directly outside their homes and bedroom windows

This level of disturbance and exposure to disorder is wholly inappropriate in a residential area and raises serious safeguarding concerns.

The continued operation and proposed extension of licensable activities will only increase the frequency of such incidents, further exposing children to harm.

Conclusion

The premises has already evolved from a local community pub into a high-intensity destination venue, with expanded use at both the front and rear, increased capacity, and a demonstrable negative impact on the surrounding residential area.

The issues outlined above are:

- * Ongoing
- * Evidenced
- * Directly linked to the operation of the premises

Granting this application would add to these problems and further undermine all four licensing objectives.

Accordingly, I respectfully request the Licensing Authority refuse this application in full.

Yours faithfully,

Rajesh kurra
19 Hainault Road, RM53AA

From: Sarah Liddon
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2026 18:41
To: Licensing
Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor
Subject: Re: The Neyzen

Re Neyzen
The Triangle
290 North Street
Romford
RM5 3AB

I would like to raise an objection to extending the license for the Neyzen to remain open until 2am and to serve alcohol and play live music until midnight.

I live in Cedar Road, this was a quiet residential street until the Neyzen opened. Recently parking at weekends has become difficult when events are held at the Neyzen.

I have a dropped kerb and usually park on my drive. Frequently, at the weekend, if I return home late evening, I am unable to access my drive due to someone parking across the dropped kerb. I have been forced to park in surrounding roads and walk the street at night with my children as the road is too congested with cars from customers of the Neyzen. This is not acceptable should I return from visiting my mother at 9-10pm at night as I would not usually choose to be on the street at that time with children as it is not safe.

I have tried on these occasions to telephone the Neyzen to ask staff to make an announcement, asking customers to come and remove their car, but I never have any success and I am suspicious if the staff even try (on occasion this was an issue with the previous restaurant and they were usually successful in finding the owner of the car).

There was also an occasion where I was unable to take my sick child to hospital in the car and I had to get a taxi because someone had blocked my car on my drive by parking across the dropped kerb.

Furthermore, my partner is a shift worker, he is an intensive care nurse. He is not always able to get on or off the drive when going to and from work due to inconsiderate parking.

I am also concerned about the disturbance at night. We did not choose to live in the centre of town and therefore we should not be subjected to the noise levels caused by playing loud music and drunk customers leaving the Neyzen late at night. This is already an issue and often in the summer we have to have the windows closed to keep sound out, even though it is uncomfortably hot. This affects our sleep as a family and our performance the following day at work and school. If the license is to be extended this is going to worsen the existing problem.

Please give some consideration to residents before considering the application. Given the owners previous misdemeanours, the council should be looking to revoke the premises license, not extend it!

Kind regards,

Sarah Liddon
15 Cedar Road
RM77JR

From: Moazzam malik

Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2026 20:50

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street

Dear Sir/Madam,

Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street

I am writing to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street.

My objection is based on concerns relating to the licensing objectives:

Prevention of crime and disorder: Residents have experienced and are concerned about antisocial behaviour in the area, including shouting, altercations, and intimidating conduct, particularly late at night.

Prevention of public nuisance: There is significant concern about noise disturbance from customers leaving the premises, including loud conversations, slamming car doors, and taxis arriving and departing at late hours. This disrupts the peace and quiet of the neighbourhood.

Public safety: Increased late-night activity raises concerns about safety in the area, including obstruction from parked vehicles and taxis blocking access to residential driveways.

Protection of children from harm: The presence of late-night disturbances, inappropriate behaviour, and public urination creates an unsuitable environment for children living nearby.

Given these concerns, I respectfully request that the application be refused or that strict conditions be imposed to address these issues.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Kind Regards
Moazzam Malik

From: pennymasters
Sent: 02 May 2026 14:38
To: Licensing
Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor;
penny masters
Subject: Licence application for Neyzen Restaurant Romford

From : Mrs Penny Masters
254 North Street
Romford RM1 4QD

Re: Licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant) 290 North Street RM5
3AB

Dear All

I am a resident of North Street and have lived at 254 for over 30 years. During the time we have seen various different organisations, pubs etc use these premises and although there have sometimes been issues we have always found the owners/occupiers to be civil and considerate posing very few problems for us as residents that could not be addressed and dealt with easily. However in the past few years since Neyzen have used the premises we and our neighbours have been subjected to a great deal of difficulties.

I would like to object to their licence on several counts.

On new years eve/Day the owners took it upon themselves to set up a firework display on our front wall and in front of it setting of fireworks into our house and onto our cars which were parked less than 2 feet away and when we confronted them we were subjected to a lot of verbal abuse and threats. One including holding a firework to my daughters face. We contacted the police as we were frightened but they would not attend as there was no physical violence despite there being about 40 men and women hurling abuse at myself, my husband and my daughter. The crime number is CAD 226 - 01/01/2026 I have videos and photographic evidence of these events which i will try to attach but if not you would be welcome to view them at any time. It is quite clear that this group have no respect for their neighbours, the law or the police and therefore I do not believe they would keep to the terms of their licence agreement. As the police did not respond when requested i have no confidence that we would be supported were we to report any incident.

Considering the recent history at this premises I am surprised that this application is even being considered.

Although the owners say they have a carpark this contains Pods etc and there is very little space therefore cars park up and down North Street often blocking entrances. Ubers and cabs are arriving at all times of night and early morning creating noise and disturbance.

As a resident I do not feel safe any more and am concerned that if there were an issue and i approached the owners that there would be contempt and threats shown towards me and blatant disregard for my views.

In summary I object to this application

1. on the grounds of potential for crime and disorder
2. public nuisance regarding noise, rubbish including bodily fluids
3. anti social behaviour
4. feeling unsafe.

Please take these points into consideration when reviewing this case



Yours sincerely
Penny Masters

From: Gulshana Matin
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 03:52
To: Licensing
Cc: CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorDavid Taylor
Subject: Re: objection regarding the licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant, 290 North Street)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the premises licence application submitted for The Triangle, Neyzen Restauraunt, particularly in relation to the proposed extension of operating hours.

I am a resident living directly opposite the premises, and I have serious concerns about the negative impact this application will have on the local community, particularly in relation to the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance, the prevention of crime and disorder, and public safety.

1. Noise Nuisance

The premises already hosts events such as weddings and private parties where loud music is played. On multiple occasions, noise levels have been clearly audible from my property, causing disturbance late into the evening. Extending the operating hours would likely exacerbate this issue, significantly affecting residents' ability to enjoy their homes and sleep undisturbed.

2. Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB)

There have been ongoing issues with customers congregating outside the premises. This includes loitering, smoking, drinking, and creating excessive noise late into the night. Additionally, littering in the surrounding area has been observed, which negatively impacts the cleanliness and character of the neighbourhood.

3. Public Safety Concerns

There has been at least one incident where fireworks were set off in the middle of the road outside the premises. This posed a serious safety risk, potentially obstructing drivers' visibility and creating danger for both pedestrians and nearby properties, including the risk of damage to vehicles and homes.

4. Traffic and Parking Impact

The area already experiences a high volume of traffic. Events hosted at the premises attract additional vehicles, which results in increased congestion and cars being parked in surrounding residential streets, often outside of normal operating hours. This creates significant difficulty for residents in finding suitable parking near their homes and contributes to further disruption and inconvenience.

5. Impact of Extended Hours

Allowing the premises to operate later into the night is likely to increase the frequency and severity of these issues. Later closing times typically lead to prolonged noise, increased congregation outside the venue, and a higher likelihood of anti-social behaviour, as well as extended pressure on parking and local infrastructure.

Given these concerns, I respectfully request that the licensing authority carefully consider the impact on local residents and refuse the application. Alternatively, I ask that strict conditions be imposed to effectively mitigate these issues.

I would welcome the opportunity to be kept informed of any hearings or decisions regarding this application.

Yours faithfully,

Gulshana Matin
264 North Street

From: Mohammed Abdul

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 22:02

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorDavid Taylor; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorJoshua Chapman

Subject: Re: Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street Romford

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), located at 290 North Street, Romford RM5 3AB.

As a local resident, I strongly support the concerns raised by others in the community regarding this application. I believe the proposed extension of activities—such as the sale of alcohol until midnight, recorded music late into the evening, and late-night opening until 2:00am during summer months and peak periods—would have a negative impact on the local area.

My objection is based on the following licensing objectives:

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

Extended hours for alcohol sales and late-night operations are likely to increase the risk of antisocial behaviour in the area.

2. Public Safety

An increase in late-night activity could lead to safety concerns for residents, particularly given the residential nature of the surrounding streets.

3. Prevention of Public Nuisance

Noise from music, customers, and vehicles late at night would significantly disturb nearby residents and affect their quality of life.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The potential for increased disturbance and disorder raises concerns about the impact on families and children living locally.

Additionally, I am concerned about increased parking pressure on residential streets, as well as general disruption during late hours.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that this application be refused, or at the very least, significantly restricted to protect the wellbeing of local residents.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Yours faithfully,

Mohammed Abdul Matin
5 Burnham RM7 7JP

From: Muhammad Kashif Memon

Sent: 07 May 2026 07:55

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford

To: Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall, Main Road
Romford RM1 3BD

Date: 7 May 2026

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford.

This objection is made on the basis that granting this application would undermine the licensing objectives. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably be worsened if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There are serious and ongoing concerns regarding crime and disorder linked to the premises.

Residents have witnessed:

- Multiple fights occurring directly outside the premises, involving intoxicated customers
- Shouting, aggressive behaviour, and disorder late at night
- Groups congregating and escalating tensions in the immediate vicinity

Police have attended incidents, demonstrating that these are not isolated occurrences.

There are also concerns regarding drug-related activity, with individuals attending the premises and engaging in suspected drug exchanges linked to customers.

In addition, during large events such as weddings:

- The premises attracts large groups from outside the local area
- There have been instances of high-value vehicles arriving in convoy, revving engines and creating excessive noise
- On occasions, flares or similar items have been used, causing alarm and raising safety concerns

The extension of licensable activities, particularly alcohol sales into later hours, will increase intoxication levels, prolong congregation, and heighten the likelihood of further disorder and violence.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises is already a significant source of public nuisance and has undergone a clear and unmanaged intensification of use.

It has expanded at both the front and rear, including:

- A front beer garden/seating area, increasing noise and disturbance onto the street
- A rear structure used as a shisha lounge and for parties
- Use of the rear curtilage for large events such as weddings, where guests are seated and accommodated externally

As a result, residents experience:

- Loud music escaping from the premises
- Persistent late-night noise from patrons shouting and congregating
- Disturbance from events operating at high capacity
- Vehicle noise, including revving engines, slamming doors, and loud music

This represents a shift from a former community pub to a destination venue, attracting customers from a wider area and generating levels of noise and activity that are wholly incompatible with a residential setting.

Granting this application will prolong and intensify these disturbances, further impacting residents' ability to enjoy their homes.

3. Public Safety

There are significant concerns regarding public safety arising from parking, congestion, and site capacity.

The premises has:

- Limited on-site parking, further reduced by the rear structure and external seating
- Additional demand from residential/HMO-style accommodation above the premises, where occupants also park
- Increased demand during large events such as weddings

Customers frequently park inconsiderately and obstructively, narrowing the roadway and restricting access.

There is a genuine concern that emergency service vehicles, including ambulances and fire engines, may be delayed or unable to pass safely.

In addition, the nearby bus stop on Hainault Road is regularly used as a holding point, where buses remain stationary for periods of time. Due to their size, they occupy a significant portion of the road.

The combination of:

- Stationary buses
- Obstructive parking
- Increased traffic associated with the premises

creates a constrained road environment and raises clear risks to public safety.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The impact of the premises on children living nearby is of particular concern. Children have been:

- Woken during the night by shouting, screaming, and fighting
- Exposed to violent incidents occurring directly outside their homes and bedroom windows

This level of disturbance and exposure to disorder is wholly inappropriate in a residential area and raises serious safeguarding concerns.

The continued operation and proposed extension of licensable activities will only increase the frequency of such incidents, further exposing children to harm.

Conclusion

The premises has already evolved from a local community pub into a high-intensity destination venue, with expanded use at both the front and rear, increased capacity, and a demonstrable negative impact on the surrounding residential area.

The issues outlined above are:

- Ongoing
- Evidenced
- Directly linked to the operation of the premises

Granting this application would add to these problems and further undermine all four licensing objectives. Accordingly, I respectfully request that the Licensing Authority refuse this application in full.

Should the Authority be minded to grant the application, it is submitted that only exceptionally stringent conditions could be considered. However, it is my firm position that refusal is the only appropriate and proportionate outcome.

Yours faithfully,

Muhammad Kashif Memon
35 Hainault Road

From: Lamisah Miah
Sent: 08 May 2026 15:47
To: Licensing
Subject: Objection The Triangle Application

Lamisah Miah
256 North Street
Romford
RM1 4QD

8th May 2026

Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BD

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am 10 years old and I live near The Triangle on North Street with my family. I wanted to write this letter because the noise and behaviour from the venue has been making me feel upset, scared and tired.

A lot of nights there is very loud shouting, screaming, swearing and music coming from the venue and from people outside. Sometimes people argue and fight in the street. Cars arrive very late at night making lots of noise with loud music, revving engines and slamming doors.

Sometimes I wake up during the night because of all the noise and then I feel very tired at school the next day. This happens even on school nights when children should be sleeping peacefully.

I have also seen fireworks and flares outside near houses which frightened me. Sometimes there are lots of people standing outside shouting and smoking.

The road gets very busy when events happen there. Cars park on pavements and near houses which makes it harder and less safe for people walking, especially children and families.

The loud music from the back area of the venue can sometimes be heard inside our home. It feels like there are parties and big events happening often and it does not feel quiet or peaceful for families living nearby.

I do not think children living near the venue should have to hear shouting and loud music late at night or be woken up because of people leaving parties in the early morning.

I respectfully ask that you please think carefully about how this venue is affecting children and families who live nearby.

I would like the application to be refused because I do not think the area is suitable for loud late-night events.

If the licence is allowed, I think there should be very strict rules so families and children can sleep safely at night, including:

- * No loud music outside
- * Earlier closing times
- * No loud events late at night
- * Better control of people leaving the venue
- * Better parking controls

Thank you for reading my letter and listening to the concerns of children and families living nearby.

Yours faithfully,

Lamisah Miah

From: Sadik Miah

Sent: 08 May 2026 11:10

To: Licensing

Subject: FORMAL OBJECTION TO PREMISES LICENCE APPLICATION - THE TRIANGLE

Sadik Miah
256 North Street
Romford
RM1 4QD

8th May 2026

Licensing Authority
London Borough of Havering
Town Hall
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BD

Dear Sir/Madam,

**FORMAL OBJECTION TO PREMISES LICENCE APPLICATION
THE TRIANGLE, 290 NORTH STREET, ROMFORD RM5 3AB**

I write to formally object to the above premises licence application pursuant to the Licensing Act 2003.

I am a resident living in close proximity to the premises on North Street and have experienced ongoing disturbance, anti-social behaviour, noise nuisance and public safety concerns which I believe are directly associated with the operation of this venue.

It is my respectful submission that the operation of the premises has undermined, and is likely to continue undermining, the four licensing objectives under the Licensing Act 2003, namely:

- The prevention of crime and disorder;
- Public safety;
- The prevention of public nuisance; and
- The protection of children from harm.

This representation is based upon incidents personally witnessed by myself and other local residents over a prolonged period, together with some video evidence, supporting material and previous police attendance.

Prevention of Crime and Disorder

In my experience, the premises has become associated with repeated incidents of anti-social behaviour and disorder within what is otherwise a predominantly residential area.

Residents have regularly experienced:

- Fighting and disorder involving patrons attending the venue;
- Aggressive, abusive and intimidating behaviour;
- Excessive shouting, screaming and swearing late at night and into the early hours;
- Patrons smoking cannabis outside the premises;
- Large groups congregating outside the venue causing disturbance to residents;
- Concerns regarding inadequate supervision and dispersal management.

On 17 March, residents were again subjected to significant anti-social behaviour associated with the premises. Despite this occurring on a school night, my children were woken by excessive shouting, aggressive conduct and disorder outside our home to such an extent that police attendance was required (CAD 8272/17March). Regrettably, this incident was not isolated. It is one example amongst numerous occasions where residents have experienced late-night disturbance associated with the premises.

Residents have also experienced occasions where large-scale events at the venue have resulted in convoys of high-performance and luxury vehicles arriving late at night and into the early hours of the morning.

On occasions, this has involved:

- Excessive engine revving outside residential properties;
- Groups of vehicles arriving together causing noise and congestion;
- Flares being ignited within the street;
- Fireworks being discharged in close proximity to neighbouring homes.

Residents have raised concerns regarding these matters previously, however there remains a perception locally that insufficient steps have been taken to adequately prevent recurrence.

I have provided video evidence in support of these concerns.

Public Safety

There are, in my respectful submission, substantial public safety concerns associated with the operation and expansion of this venue.

The rear curtilage area, whilst described as a seating area, appears in practice to be used as a significant extension of the operational and entertainment space of the premises.

Residents have observed the rear area being used for:

- Outdoor parties and functions;
- Amplified music events;
- Live musical performances;
- Shisha use;
- Additional seating pods increasing customer capacity.

The use of this area appears to extend materially beyond incidental seating and has resulted in increased customer numbers and intensified use of the premises. In addition, the construction and use of the rear area appears to have reduced the amount of available on-site parking.

The premises does not appear to operate merely as a small local restaurant serving the immediate community. Rather, it appears to function as a destination venue hosting weddings, birthday celebrations, functions and entertainment events attracting substantial numbers of people from outside the area.

In my respectful submission, the parking provision available at the premises appears insufficient for the scale of events and customer numbers being attracted to the venue.

Residents have experienced significant parking pressures on surrounding roads as a consequence. In particular:

- Customers associated with the venue regularly park on pavements along North Street, obstructing pedestrian access and creating hazards for residents, children and those using pushchairs or mobility aids;
- Vehicles are frequently parked throughout surrounding residential streets late into the evening and early morning;
- Residents and visitors are often unable to park near their homes;
- Pedestrian movement and access are adversely affected.

Concerns also arise regarding the use of the upper floors of the premises. Whilst these areas may operate as hotel accommodation, there is a local perception that the accommodation is being occupied in a manner more akin to HMO-style residential occupation, which may further contribute to pressure upon parking and increased footfall associated with the premises.

Taken cumulatively, the scale of events hosted, the apparent intensity of use and the associated parking impacts appear incompatible with the surrounding residential setting.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

In my respectful submission, the operation of the premises has resulted in ongoing and excessive public nuisance affecting neighbouring residents.

Residents have experienced:

- Loud amplified music escaping from within the premises;
- Noise breakout due to inadequate sound insulation;
- Loud music and entertainment taking place within the rear external area;
- Live band performances and amplified external events;
- Persistent shouting, screaming and disorder from customers congregating outside;
- Noise associated with dispersal from the venue during late-night and early morning hours;
- Vehicle noise including engine revving, slamming doors, sounding horns and loud music from parked vehicles;
- Smoke and fumes emitted from the premises flue affecting neighbouring properties;
- Ongoing disturbance arising from use of the front beer garden and external areas.

A particularly significant issue for residents is the disturbance caused when patrons leave the venue.

Customers departing the premises late at night and during the early hours are regularly heard shouting in the street, slamming vehicle doors, sounding car horns and playing loud music from vehicles parked outside nearby homes.

Groups frequently remain in the area for prolonged periods after leaving the premises, resulting in continuing disturbance long after licensable activities have concluded. This disturbance has repeatedly woken my children and other residents during the night.

No reasonable resident should be expected to experience such levels of recurring disruption within a residential neighbourhood.

I have provided video evidence demonstrating:

- Outdoor parties and entertainment within the rear curtilage area;
- Loud amplified music;
- Vehicle-related disturbance and anti-social behaviour;
- The extent to which neighbouring residential properties are affected.

The evidence, in my respectful submission, demonstrates that the operation of the premises is not compatible with the surrounding residential environment.

Protection of Children from Harm

I also have serious concerns regarding the impact the operation of the premises is having upon children residing nearby.

My children have repeatedly been exposed to:

- Aggressive and anti-social behaviour;
- Loud shouting and disorder late into the night;
- Fireworks and flares discharged outside residential homes;
- Cannabis smoking in the vicinity of the premises;
- Significant sleep disturbance caused by noise associated with the venue.

Children should reasonably be able to sleep safely and peacefully within their homes, particularly on school nights. The repeated sleep disruption and exposure to anti-social behaviour associated with the premises is, in my respectful submission, incompatible with the licensing objective of protecting children from harm.

Furthermore, there is a local understanding that children may also reside within the upper-floor accommodation at the premises itself. If correct, this gives rise to additional safeguarding and welfare concerns given the scale of late-night disturbance and disorder associated with the operation of the venue.

Suitability of the Premises and Management Concerns

In addition to the concerns outlined above, concerns also arise regarding the overall management and suitability of the premises to hold a premises licence.

It is understood locally that the previous licence holder, Mr. Badrul Haque, was previously associated with serious allegations relating to modern-day slavery offences.

Whilst this application is presented under a different proposed licence holder, some residents remain concerned that Mr. Haque may continue to retain a degree of operational involvement or influence in relation to the premises. I appreciate this may be disputed; however, these concerns remain matters of local concern amongst residents.

Given the ongoing issues already associated with the venue, including disorder, nuisance and management concerns, residents respectfully submit that the Licensing Authority should carefully scrutinise the management arrangements and operational control of the premises.

The Licensing Authority must ultimately be satisfied that those operating the premises are capable of consistently promoting the licensing objectives and managing the venue responsibly within a residential setting.

Conclusion

For all of the reasons set out above, I respectfully submit that this application should be refused.

The evidence available demonstrates an ongoing pattern of:

- Crime and disorder;
- Public nuisance;
- Anti-social behaviour;
- Noise disturbance;
- Parking and traffic impacts;
- Poor dispersal management; and
- Harm and distress caused to neighbouring residents and children.

In my respectful submission, the premises operates not as a modest local restaurant but as a high-intensity destination venue hosting large-scale functions, weddings and entertainment events which appear incompatible with the residential nature of the locality.

The venue does not appear to have sufficient infrastructure, parking provision, sound insulation or management controls to adequately mitigate the impact upon neighbouring residents.

Accordingly, I respectfully submit that granting the application would be likely to further undermine the licensing objectives rather than promote them. Given the seriousness and extent of the concerns raised, I respectfully request that the application be referred to and determined by a full Licensing Sub-Committee hearing rather than under delegated authority.

Should the Authority nevertheless be minded to grant the application, I respectfully submit that exceptionally robust conditions would be required, including:

- No licensable activities beyond 22:00 hours;
- A prohibition on outdoor amplified music and entertainment;
- Strict restrictions on use of the rear curtilage area;
- Restrictions on external seating pods;
- Enhanced sound insulation measures;
- A comprehensive dispersal policy;
- Increased SIA security presence;
- Restrictions relating to shisha use;
- Parking management controls; and
- Ongoing monitoring and review provisions.

This is a residential area occupied by families and young children who are entitled to reasonable peace, quiet and uninterrupted sleep, particularly during school nights.

Children living nearby are routinely woken by shouting, amplified music, vehicle noise and anti-social behaviour associated with the premises. Ongoing sleep disturbance of this nature can adversely impact children's wellbeing, concentration, education and overall welfare.

For those reasons, I respectfully submit that a high-intensity destination venue operating late into the night is unsuitable for this locality.

I respectfully request that full consideration be given to the supporting videos and evidence submitted by residents.

I have submitted the supporting video evidence in a separate email due to the file size exceeding email attachment limits.

Yours faithfully,

Sadik Miah

From: Julie Mirfakhraee

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 17:24

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorDavid Taylor; CouncillorNisha Patel

Subject: Re: Representation Against Premises Licence Application

To: The Licensing Department, Havering Council

Reference: The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street, Romford, RM5 3AB

From: Julie Mirfakhraee, 41 Hainault Road, Romford, RM5 3AA

I am writing to formally register my objection to the licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen). I am making this representation under the licensing objectives of The Prevention of Public Nuisance, The Prevention of Crime and Disorder, and Public Safety.

My objection is based on the following grounds:

1. Public Nuisance

Smoke and Odour Emissions:

The current operation of the premises involves a grill system that emits smoke and grease-laden odours for several hours daily when operating.

This smoke permeates most of Hainault Road, making it impossible for residents to open windows or use outdoor spaces. This is particularly detrimental to the health of the disabled resident in my household who has Cystic Fibrosis, for whom clean air and proper ventilation are essential.

Nuisance Parking in Permit Zone:

The premises has insufficient on-site parking for its capacity. Our street is a Resident Permit Only zone (RO2B), yet patrons frequently park illegally in these bays. This results in late-night noise (engines revving, car doors slamming, shouting) as patrons return to their vehicles, often after 11:00 PM.

Most critically, customers frequently obstruct our driveway. My household requires 24/7 unobstructed access for medical transport and emergency services due to the homeowners disability. Any obstruction poses a direct threat to life and safety and causes a great deal of stress.

Late Night Noise and Sleep Disturbance:

The applicant has requested to operate until midnight and 2.00am. In a residential area where the road is narrow and houses are in close proximity to the premises, noise is significantly amplified after 11:00 PM when street noise drops.

Customers leaving at midnight or later, will be slamming car doors, revving engines, and talking loudly on the street well into the early hours of the morning (12:00 AM - 02.00AM). This is particularly disruptive for the disabled resident in my household as her bedroom faces onto the premises car park. A consistent sleep pattern is vital for her health management and the noise will cause a great deal stress.

2. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

The Committee will be well aware of the fact that the premises at 290 North Street was the subject of a License Revocation on 11th March 2026 following a Home Office review. The premises was found to be involved in serious criminal activity, including the employment of illegal workers and suspected Modern Slavery, resulting in £80,000 in fines.

We have seen no evidence that this 'new management' represents a legitimate, independent change of ownership. We are concerned that this application is a 'phoenix' attempt to bypass the recent findings.

Does the Committee have proof of a completely new lease and evidence that the previous owner (Bodrul Haque) or the company (Haz 21 Ltd) has no financial interest in the new venture?

3. Public Safety

The Home Office found people living in an uninsulated shed with dangerous wiring. This is a Public Safety and Planning violation. If the new management is still using those outbuildings or hasn't addressed the fire risks identified by the Home Office, they are not 'fit and proper' to hold a license.

Alcohol sales being permitted until midnight, recorded music played until midnight, and extended operating hours until 2:00 AM, during summer months and peak periods will undoubtedly cause increased antisocial behaviour, including shouting, urinating and fights. To the vulnerable disabled homeowner, the possibility of this is a cause of great stress.

I trust that the Committee will examine the above points and consider how this will negatively affect all of the surrounding residents.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss further.

Yours faithfully,

Julie Mirfakhraee

From: Delza Ngan
Sent: 08 May 2026 18:19
To: Licensing
Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; councillorNisha.Patel@havering; CouncillorDavid Taylor
Subject: Premises Licence Application-The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street, Romford RM5 3AB

8 May 2026

Miss Delza Ngan
23 Hainault Road
Romford
RM5 3AA

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to strongly object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford (Neyzen Restaurant).

I live opposite to the premises and have been experiencing many concerns/issues over the years. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably worsen if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

I have seen multiple fights occurring outside my house from people attending weddings/parties from Neyzen drunk and disorderly. Shouting, aggressive behaviour disturbing my evening and my child's sleep.

I have also seen drug-related activity with individuals attending the premises engaging in drug exchanges linked to customers and people living above the premises.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises has already been extended to the front and back of the property. To the front is seated beer garden and to the back is a shisha lounge and conservatory.

This property has been dramatically increased in size with no thought to the residents that live in the area. Weekends are the worse with loud music, vibrations of noise, people shouting, vehicles revving and slamming doors. The beer garden is directly opposite my house in which I get all the intoxicated people smoking outside shouting and I've seen people urinate at the side of the premises. This is not something that should be happening in a residential area with families being exposed to this violating action. I have a video of a group of drunk men buying alcohol from Neyzen playing a music speaker in the garden area late at night not being able to put my child to sleep. I did go into the premises twice and no action was taken. The staff are unable to control their customers. Also, the side door to the premises is left open letting loud music escape.

Granting this application will increase noise and disturbance levels will rise dramatically on mine and other family homes.

The owner of the premises does not have the same views on this area or community, and this is all based on financial gain.

3. Public Safety

The property is over developed there is not enough car parking spaces to the back of the property for the amount of people that attend this property. It is generally Indian weddings being held here and there are so many cars causing obstruction to the street. Blocking people's driveways and double parking is just not acceptable. For example, if my child was sick and I urgently needed to take him to hospital. This is not acceptable its selfish behaviour.

Every weekend without a doubt I'm in the restaurant asking the DJ to make an announcement to the person blocking my driveway. I have a small child and sometimes have had to leave him if sleep while I get the car removed so I can park on my driveway.

On top of the customers from the premises there are also people living above the restaurant HMO where people also need to park.

Also the kitchen extractor fan has been letting off heavy fumes and noise. Please see attached video.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

My child and other family's children being woken in the night by shouting, screaming, fighting, loud music and being exposed to violent incidents occurring outside my house and bedroom windows.

The issues mentioned above are ongoing and will only get worse. Please listen to the people that live here. I strongly object refuse this application.

Please let me know the outcome.

Kind regards,

Delza Ngan

From: Councillor Nisha Patel

Sent: 24 April 2026 08:39

To: Licensing

Cc: Councillor David Taylor; nhc residents

Subject: Objection to premises licence application -The Triangle at 290 North Street, Romford

Dear Licensing Team,

I am writing to formally object to the application for a premises licence under Section 17 of the Licensing Act 2003 for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford RM5 3AB.

My objection is based on concerns relating to the licensing objectives, particularly public nuisance, crime and disorder, public safety, and the protection of children from harm.

Public nuisance

The premises is situated in close proximity to residential properties, many of which are family homes. The introduction of live or recorded music, particularly during evening hours, is likely to result in ongoing disturbance. The structure of the building, including what appears to be a conservatory-style rear extension, raises concerns about inadequate sound containment, meaning noise may easily travel to neighbouring homes.

Additionally, the apparent use of external areas, including the front parking space, for customer activity is likely to increase noise levels from music and patrons. Late-night dispersal of customers also presents a significant concern, as this can lead to raised voices, vehicle noise, and general disturbance within what is otherwise a quiet residential setting.

Crime and disorder

Parking pressures in the surrounding area are already an issue for residents. The premises does not appear to have sufficient on-site parking to accommodate its customers, which may lead to inappropriate parking on nearby roads such as Cedar Road and Burnham Road. This has the potential to cause obstruction, inconvenience, and tension between residents and visitors.

There is also concern that limited enforcement during late hours could allow anti-social behaviour to occur unchecked. Furthermore, it is noted that the premises previously had its licence revoked by the Licensing Committee on 11 March 2026 following serious concerns. It is unclear whether there has been a substantive change in management since that decision, which raises doubts about whether past issues have been adequately addressed.

There also appears to be uncertainty regarding the identity of the operator. Multiple companies are registered at this address, and it is not clear which entity is responsible for trading as "The Triangle." This lack of transparency raises concerns about accountability and whether there may be links to those previously associated with the premises.

Public safety

The reduction in available parking, combined with increased visitor numbers, could result in unsafe parking practices in surrounding streets. This may obstruct access for emergency services and create hazards for pedestrians, particularly more vulnerable residents.

The lack of clarity regarding management responsibility further raises concerns about how the premises will be safely operated on a day-to-day basis.

Protection of children from harm

The area is home to a significant number of families. Increased late-night activity, noise, and traffic may have a negative impact on children living nearby, particularly in terms of sleep disturbance and exposure to anti-social behaviour. Given the history of the premises, there is currently insufficient reassurance that appropriate safeguarding measures would be in place.

Conclusion

In light of the concerns outlined above, particularly the history of the premises, the lack of clarity around management, and the likely impact on local residents, I do not believe the licensing objectives would be adequately upheld if this application were granted.

I therefore respectfully request that this application be refused.

Best Regards

Nisha Patel
Councillor Nisha Patel
St Edwards Ward

From: rpescod150
Sent: 08 May 2026 18:33
To: Paul Jones
Subject: Licensing application support: The Triangle 290 North Street Romford RM5 3AB

Friday 8th May 2026.

The Triangle 290 North Street Romford RM5 3AB,

Dear Paul,

I would like to add my support to grant Triangle a licence to serve Alcohol, we have been customers at The Caraway, then Neyzen since they have both opened. In that time have never seen an boisterous or drunken behaviour, unlike when it was the Parkside public house, a place that had a bad name. The Caraway and Neyzen have worked hard to make it a family friendly welcoming restaurant . What i would like to

know is how many times the Police have been called to The Caraway and Neyzen over drunken and disruptive behaviour?.

I see no reason not to grant an alcohol licence .

Look Forward to your reply.

Best wishes

Richard & Margaret Pescod
[No home address provided]

From: threads-mars.1d

Sent: 08 May 2026 15:49

To: Licensing

Subject: Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle, 290 North Street, Romford.

This objection is made on the basis that granting this application would undermine the licensing objectives. The concerns set out below are based on ongoing and evidenced issues already associated with the premises, which will inevitably be worsened if this application is granted.

1. Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There are serious and ongoing concerns regarding crime and disorder linked to the premises.

Residents have witnessed:

- * Multiple fights occurring directly outside the premises, involving intoxicated customers
- * Shouting, aggressive behaviour, and disorder late at night
- * Groups congregating and escalating tensions in the immediate vicinity

Police have attended incidents, demonstrating that these are not isolated occurrences.

There are also concerns regarding drug-related activity, with individuals attending the premises and engaging in suspected drug exchanges linked to customers.

In addition, during large events such as weddings:

- * The premises attracts large groups from outside the local area
- * There have been instances of high-value vehicles arriving in convoy, revving engines and creating excessive noise
- * On occasions, flares or similar items have been used, causing alarm and raising safety concerns

The extension of licensable activities, particularly alcohol sales into later hours, will increase intoxication levels, prolong congregation, and heighten the likelihood of further disorder and violence.

2. Prevention of Public Nuisance

The premises is already a significant source of public nuisance and has undergone a clear and unmanaged intensification of use.

It has expanded at both the front and rear, including:

- * A front beer garden/seating area, increasing noise and disturbance onto the street
- * A rear structure used as a shisha lounge and for parties
- * Use of the rear curtilage for large events such as weddings, where guests are seated and accommodated externally

As a result, residents experience:

- * Loud music escaping from the premises
- * Persistent late-night noise from patrons shouting and congregating
- * Disturbance from events operating at high capacity
- * Vehicle noise, including revving engines, slamming doors, and loud music

This represents a shift from a former community pub to a destination venue, attracting customers from a wider area and generating levels of noise and activity that are wholly incompatible with a residential setting.

Granting this application will prolong and intensify these disturbances, further impacting residents' ability to enjoy their homes.

3. Public Safety

There are significant concerns regarding public safety arising from parking, congestion, and site capacity.

The premises has:

- * Limited on-site parking, further reduced by the rear structure and external seating
- * Additional demand from residential/HMO-style accommodation above the premises, where occupants also park
- * Increased demand during large events such as weddings

Customers frequently park inconsiderately and obstructively, narrowing the roadway and restricting access.

There is a genuine concern that emergency service vehicles, including ambulances and fire engines, may be delayed or unable to pass safely.

In addition, the nearby bus stop on Hainault Road is regularly used as a holding point, where buses remain stationary for periods of time. Due to their size, they occupy a significant portion of the road.

The combination of:

- * Stationary buses
- * Obstructive parking
- * Increased traffic associated with the premises

creates a constrained road environment and raises clear risks to public safety.

4. Protection of Children from Harm

The impact of the premises on children living nearby is of particular concern.

Children have been:

- * Woken during the night by shouting, screaming, and fighting
- * Exposed to violent incidents occurring directly outside their homes and bedroom windows

This level of disturbance and exposure to disorder is wholly inappropriate in a residential area and raises serious safeguarding concerns.

The continued operation and proposed extension of licensable activities will only increase the frequency of such incidents, further exposing children to harm.

Conclusion

The premises has already evolved from a local community pub into a high-intensity destination venue, with expanded use at both the front and rear, increased capacity, and a demonstrable negative impact on the surrounding residential area.

The issues outlined above are:

- * Ongoing
- * Evidenced
- * Directly linked to the operation of the premises

Granting this application would add to these problems and further undermine all four licensing objectives.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that the Licensing Authority refuse this application in full.

Should the Authority be minded to grant the application, it is submitted that only exceptionally stringent conditions could be considered. However, it is my firm position that refusal is the only appropriate and proportionate outcome.

Yours faithfully,

Ella R
Resident of Hainault Road, RM53AA.

From: Stuart Selby

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 16:33

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorJoshua Chapman; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorDavid Taylor

Subject: Re: Neyzen Restaurant License Application Objection

Dear Sir or Madam,

My family and I reside at **19 Cedar Road, Romford, RM7 7JR**, and we wish to formally object to the premises licence application for **Neyzen Restaurant**, located on the corner of our road.

Our objection is based on the following concerns:

Parking and congestion: During busy periods, we are frequently unable to park on our own road due to the volume of customers attending the restaurant. The premises does not appear to have sufficient parking capacity, and Cedar Road has a very limited number of bays. As residents, we pay a significant cost for parking permits, yet are often unable to park outside our own home.

Noise disturbance: There is ongoing noise late into the evening, which regularly disrupts our young family, particularly when our children need to sleep ahead of school days.

Hygiene and pest issues: The apparent lack of adequate hygiene at the premises has, in our experience, contributed to an increase in rats within our garden and along the street.

Public disorder and safety concerns: We have observed groups of rowdy individuals congregating around the restaurant. On several occasions this has escalated into arguments or physical confrontations after alcohol consumption, which is intimidating for nearby residents.

We respectfully request that these concerns are taken into serious consideration when reviewing this application, as they have a significant impact on our quality of life and the wider residential area.

Kind regards,

Stuart & Jennylyn Selby

From: Scott stone
Sent: 09 May 2026 10:22
To: Licensing
Cc: Paul Jones
Subject: Licensing application support; The Triangle 290 North Street Rm53AB

Dear Council Member

I am writing to express how important it is that Neyzen Restaurant (The Triangle) remains open. Local businesses like this are at the heart of our community. They bring people together, create jobs, and give our area character and warmth that larger chains simply cannot replace.

For me and my family, this restaurant is much more than just somewhere to eat. Over the past few Christmases, we have celebrated together there, creating memories that are incredibly special to us. It has become part of our family tradition — a place filled with happiness, laughter, and togetherness during the festive season.

Restaurants like this provide comfort and connection, especially during important occasions such as Christmas. Losing it would not only affect the owners and staff, but also the many families and local residents who value it as part of the community. I sincerely hope the restaurant can continue to serve local people for many years to come, and that my family will be able to continue our Christmas tradition there in the future.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my thoughts and support for keeping this valued local business open.

Yours sincerely,
Scott Stone
[No address provided]

From: Denis Tarasov
Sent: Sunday, May 03, 2026 15:57
To: Licensing
Subject: Objection regarding the licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant , 290 North Street, RM5 3AA)

Formal Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle, Neyzen Restaurant

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the premises licence application submitted for **The Triangle, Neyzen Restaurant**, specifically in relation to the proposed extension of the operating hours.

I am a local resident living directly opposite the premises at **27 Hainault Road, RM5 3AA**. Due to my proximity to the site, I have serious concerns about the negative impact this application would have on the local community if approved.

My concerns include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. **Increased antisocial behaviour**, particularly during late-night hours.
2. **Noise and disturbance late at night**, affecting residents' ability to rest and enjoy their homes peacefully.
3. **Safety concerns for women and girls**, especially during late hours when groups congregate outside the premises.
4. **A negative impact on children and families** living in nearby residential properties.
5. **Increased parking pressure on surrounding residential streets**, causing obstruction and inconvenience to residents.

Many of these issues are already being experienced by local residents under the current operating arrangements. An extension of working hours would, in my view, significantly worsen the situation and further harm the wellbeing and safety of the neighbourhood.

For these reasons, I strongly object to the proposed extension and respectfully request that my concerns are fully considered as part of the licensing decision.

Yours faithfully,

Denis Tarasov
27 Hainault Road
Romford
RM5 3AA

From: CouncillorDavid Taylor
Sent: 23 April 2026 15:52
To: Licensing; CouncillorPhilippa Crowder
Cc: CouncillorNisha Patel
Subject: Objection

Dear Licensing.

I am writing to object to the APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE UNDER SECTION 17 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 for The Triangle 290 North Street Romford RM5 3AB.

I'm writing to object to this application as a local councillor, based on concerns raised by residents and my own knowledge of the area.

Public nuisance

This venue sits very close to a number of family homes. Granting a licence for live or recorded music late into the evening is likely to cause ongoing disturbance to residents.

The issue is made worse by the layout of the building. The rear of the premises appears to be a conservatory-style extension with very limited soundproofing, meaning noise is likely to carry directly into neighbouring properties.

There are also concerns about the use of outdoor space. The front parking area has been turned into an entertainment area, which will increase noise from music, customers talking, and general activity late at night.

There is also concern regarding how customers will disperse from the premises late at night. In a quiet residential area, this often leads to noise from raised voices, car doors, engines, and general disturbance after closing time. Without a clear and robust dispersal approach, this is likely to impact nearby residents.

Crime and disorder

Parking is already a problem locally, and this venue does not appear to have enough space to accommodate customers.

Residents regularly experience issues with people parking inappropriately on nearby roads such as Cedar Road and Burnham Road, including in resident bays. A venue operating late into the evening is likely to make this worse.

Poor parking provision is likely to lead not only to inconvenience but to obstructive and inconsiderate parking, which can block access routes and contribute to tensions between residents and patrons. This has the potential to lead to conflict and anti-social behaviour.

There is also limited enforcement during late hours, which increases the risk of anti-social behaviour going unchecked.

In addition, this premises previously had its licence revoked by the Licensing Committee on 11 March 2026 following serious concerns, including modern slavery. It is not clear whether there has been any meaningful change in management since then, which raises concerns about whether those issues could arise again.

There also appears to be a lack of clarity around who is in control of the premises. Multiple companies are registered at this address, none of which are clearly identified as trading as "The Triangle." Given the recent revocation of the premises licence, it is important that there is full transparency regarding ownership and management.

The presence of multiple businesses registered at the same address raises questions about whether there may be connections between the current applicant and those previously associated with the premises. Without clear information demonstrating a change in ownership or management, there is a risk that the issues which led to the previous licence revocation could reoccur.

Public safety

The reduction in available parking space, due to parts of the site being converted into entertainment areas, creates risks around unsafe parking in surrounding streets. This could impact access for emergency vehicles and create hazards for pedestrians, including children and more vulnerable residents.

There is also some uncertainty about who is actually operating from this address. Several companies are registered there, none of which are clearly linked to the name "The Triangle." This lack of clarity raises concerns about accountability and the day-to-day management of the premises.

Protection of children from harm

This is a residential area with many families. Increased late-night noise, traffic, and potential anti-social behaviour could negatively impact children living nearby.

The cumulative impact of noise, late-night activity, and increased footfall in a residential area is likely to affect children in particular, including through sleep disturbance and exposure to late-night behaviour.

Given the previous concerns associated with this premises, it is important that there is full confidence in the management and safeguarding arrangements, which at present is not clear.

Taken together, the lack of clarity around management, the history of the premises, and the clear risk of noise and disturbance mean there is insufficient confidence that the licensing objectives will be upheld if this application is granted.

For these reasons, I ask that the application is refused.

Councillor David Taylor

St Edward's Ward | Romford *Chairman, Places Overview & Scrutiny Sub-Committee*

From: Mr Uddin

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 11:07

To: Licensing

Cc: CouncillorDavid Taylor; CouncillorNisha Patel; CouncillorJoshua Chapman

Subject: Re: Objection to Premises Licence Application – The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street

Dear Licensing Authority,

I write to formally object to the premises licence application for The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant), 290 North Street, Romford RM5 3AB. I am a local resident living within

close proximity to the premises and will be directly affected by any extension of licensable activities.

My objection relates to all four licensing objectives, with particular concern regarding the prevention of public nuisance and crime and disorder.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

The area is predominantly residential and already experiences disturbance from late-night activity. Noise arising from patrons dispersing—raised voices, shouting, car doors slamming, and taxi movements—travels easily into nearby homes, particularly during quieter night-time hours. The proposed extension of alcohol sales and late-night operation would significantly increase this impact at the most sensitive times, adversely affecting residents' ability to sleep and enjoy their homes.

Prevention of Crime and Disorder

There is an existing pattern of anti-social behaviour associated with late-night activity in the locality, including verbal altercations and disorder in the street. Extending hours and increasing footfall late at night is likely to exacerbate these issues. The application does not demonstrate how such risks will be effectively mitigated.

Public Safety

The surrounding roads are residential and already experience parking stress. Increased late-night use of taxis and private vehicles raises concerns around obstruction, unsafe manoeuvres, and restricted access, including for emergency services.

Protection of Children from Harm

The immediate area includes families with young children. Exposure to late-night disturbance, foul language, and anti-social behaviour is not appropriate in a residential setting and would be intensified by the proposed operating hours.

Conclusion

The application, as proposed, fails to demonstrate how the licensing objectives will be upheld, particularly given the residential nature of the area and existing issues. The extended hours sought are not appropriate for this location and would lead to a material increase in harm to residents.

I therefore respectfully request that the application be refused.

Yours faithfully,

Foyez Uddin
15 Burnham Road
Romford
RM7 7JP

From: Chris Wade

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 18:30

To: Oisin Daly

Subject: Re: Objection -Licensing application - The Triangle (Neyzen Restaurant)
295 North Street, RM5 3AB

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to object to the current licensing application for the above premises, which changes its use from the current restaurant (closed) to more of a bar, with extended opening hours at peak times and all Summer to 2am, and standard hours to midnight. The application also suggests the playing of recorded music up until midnight as standard and likely 2am at the other times.

For ease of reference, I shall break down my objections into the four licensing principles:

Prevention of Crime and Disorder:

The current establishment has been the subject of a number of investigations in relation to potential offences of Modern Slavery and working time contraventions. There is nothing to suggest that the change of management, which appears to be likely an effort to remove the previous operators from responsibility and further prosecution or civil litigation, leaves the community with little confidence that such issues are likely to be entirely resolved with the provision of new, more alcohol and entertainment-based license provision and change of use.

In addition, the site has caused a number of other offences to be committed locally which are both civil and criminal, mostly relating to graffiti, public urination, parking offences, and wilful destruction of Council property. Despite the site having a car park adjoined to it, over the last few years, its popular use has led to congestion in Cedar and adjoining roads, contrary in many cases to parking restrictions, which is also dangerous for residents and visitors alike. The roads are regularly blocked by cars parked in every available space (including those covered by yellow lines), squeezing access to the point that it would be difficult to see how emergency vehicles could gain access if required.

Further, parking signs (council property) which restrict access through varying times of day to cars without a resident's permit, have been covered in black paint in order for visitors, most of whom can be seen attending the venue, to be able to park illegally without fear of fine or prosecution.

Public Safety

As I have said above, the congested roads caused by the popular use of this venue in the past have presented a very real threat to public safety from the inaccessibility for emergency services during peak times.

It is my understanding that there have also been complaints of public urination, which presents a public health risk in and of itself. There have also been complaints from residents of intimidatory behaviour by men against women, and the provision of a late alcohol license in a residential area would only exacerbate the risk of this type of behaviour continuing. I have also witnessed an increase in littering in the area (including bins filled with kitchen waste outside the parking area) which, given the nature of the venue as one which serves and is likely to continue to serve food, would represent a likely target for rats and foxes, which would both plague the area with their droppings and also represent a direct threat to the public safety of residents.

Furthermore, complaints have previously been made about levels of exhaust coming from the venue's kitchen, which have, on peak days, been so great as to produce dark, smelly clouds reminiscent of a possible outbreak of fire. This smoke is unlikely to have a positive impact on local public health, nor levels of air pollution in the area which have been linked to the cause of, and exacerbation of respiratory problems for people living nearby.

Public Nuisance

As per the above, there are a number of issues of statutory nuisance, including public order offences, such as public urination, which would only likely get worse should the license conditions applied for are granted. There is also the issue that this venue is in the middle of a densely populated residential area which already has to deal with occasional noise, dust, and smoke nuisance from the businesses in the mini-industrial estate and the large Dunelm nearby.

There is already a history of a great deal of noise at night from persons leaving the venue, which has disturbed the residents closest to it, the issues of pollution nuisance from excess littering, the storage of food and cooking waste outside the venue, and the smoke from the kitchen. The presence of rats, which has been witnessed by myself and others in the locality is a sign of the level this has reached and opening the venue later, longer, and with more music and alcohol being served are likely to increase this problem, rather than diminish it.

Protection of Children from Harm

As I have said above, there have previously been reports of public order offences such as public urination and the harassment of women in the local area. Serving alcohol for longer, focusing the venue on that service and entertainment rather than specifically as a restaurant increases the risk of these problems continuing and worsening. In addition the risk of public drunkenness in an area over the road from a large local park, where many children visit, and in an area where many young children live and attend school, would not serve to protect their interests or safety.

'In addition to the above, ongoing issues with the lack of access for emergency vehicles, as well as sometimes the inability of residents to leave their homes without having to navigate around many cars coming up and down parking illegally or at least unsatisfactorily, represents a very real risk to the safety of children living in the area. An increase in the number of cars on regular occasions means a higher risk of

a child being hit by those cars and injured or worse., despite the best efforts of their parents.

I hope this objection will be properly considered when you consider whether to grant the license for this premises in its current application form.

Kind regards

Christopher Wade
17 Burnham Road
Romford
RM7 7JP